

# 1. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions\_1

2020-03-20 13:20:59

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu\_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec\_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type





Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.



## 2. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions\_1 2020-03-20 13:20:59

Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities




Fig 7: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type





Fig 8: FPIR vs. Selectivity



### 3. Report for algorithm vigilantsolutions\_1 2020-03-20 13:20:59

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: vigilantsolutions\_1  
Developer: Vigilant Solutions  
Submission Date: 2018\_02\_14  
Template size: 2056 bytes  
Template time (2.5 percentile): 726 msec  
Template time (median): 735 msec  
Template time (97.5 percentile): 783 msec  
Investigation rank 210 -- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2017 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime\_003  
Identification rank 204 -- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6359  
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime\_003